Identifying and Prioritizing Sources of Uncertainty in External Hazard Probabilistic Risk Assessment: Project Activities and Progress

> Project funded by: U.S. Department of Energy, Nuclear Energy University Program

Michelle Bensi (UMD) ● Katrina Groth (UMD) ● Zeyun Wu (VCU) Ray Schneider (WEC) ● Zhegang Ma (INL) ● Ahmad Al-Douri (UMD) Somayeh Mohammadi (UMD) ● Tao Liu (VCU) ● Camille Levine (UMD)

> PSAM 2022 Honoulu, Hawaii

#### Motivation & Research Needs

#### **Motivation:**

• Significant sources of uncertainties in XHPRA are associated with the frequency, severity, and temporal evolution of external hazard events and event impacts on plant response.

#### **Research Needs:**

- Evaluate existing XHPRA knowledge and tools and identify their limitations
  - Focus on working across hazard groups
- Develop a technically sound, risk-informed strategy to:
  - Identify and characterize drivers of hazard uncertainty
  - Enable uncertainty reduction activities to be prioritized based on risk significance, risk reduction benefit, and value
- Risk-informed strategy must account for:
  - Impacts of hazard events on SSCs and event progressions
  - Close coupling of the physical aspects of hazard events with plant response and human performance

#### Project Outcomes

- Develop a structured process for identifying, evaluating, categorizing, and assessing the impact of uncertainties on XHPRA modeling elements and create a common taxonomy for communicating these uncertainties across hazard groups
- Investigate the spectrum of uncertainties involved in the physical processes that underlie external hazards and assess the uncertainties associated with estimation of hazard frequencies and parsing of hazard information into the XHPRA
- Investigate how uncertainties in the physical hazard characteristics and associated hazard timing interfaces with plant processes to prepare for, mitigate, cope, and recover from the external challenge
  - Connect the uncertainties in the hazard severity/evolution with human response
- Integrate insights and develop strategies for prioritization of uncertainty reduction efforts

#### Project Outcomes

- Develop a struct assessing the in common taxon
- Investigate the underlie extern of hazard frequ
- Investigate how hazard timing in recover from th
  - Connect the u
- Integrate insigh reduction effort



egorizing, and ements and create a across hazard groups ysical processes that iated with estimation to the XHPRA eristics and associated r, mitigate, cope, and man response uncertainty

#### Project Goals and Structure









- Insights regarding the "most pressing" sources of uncertainty
- The strategies used to address drivers of uncertainty
- Identification of uncertainties w/ potential to change risk metrics
- Sources of "compounding conservatisms" and "blindspots"
- Inconsistencies in practices, conventions, etc. between hazard groups, technical elements, and other aspects of PRAs



Additional information in companion paper: MB157

### Task 2: Hazard Uncertainty Characterization and Data Analysis

- Focus on external flooding hazards
- Identify key sources of uncertainty related to the probabilistic characterization of:
  - External hazard occurrence
  - Severity (e.g., flood depth, elevation)
  - Timing (e.g., warning time, duration)
- Develop event scenarios for consideration under Tasks 3 and 4
- Understanding the potential "value of information" associated with uncertainty reduction



Image source: https://www.nytimes.com/2011/06/21/us/21flood.html

## Task 2: Hazard Uncertainty Characterization and Data Analysis

- Focus on external flooding hazards
- Identify key sources of uncertainty related to the probabilistic characterization of:
  - External hazard occurrence
  - Severity (e.g., flood depth, elevation)
  - Timing (e.g., warning time, duration)
- Develop event scenarios for consideration under Tasks 3 and 4
- Understanding the potential "value of information" associated with uncertainty reduction













Generic PWR Model Modification and Improvement

#### Station Blackout (SBO) Accident Simulation Using RELAP5-3D

- Long term (LT) SBO and Short term (ST) SBO event sequence assumptions were developed
- Thermal-hydraulic simulations for LTSBO and STSBO scenarios for the generic PWR were performed
- The response of various key parameters of interest were analyzed
  - Reactor cooling system (RCS) pressure & temperature, steam generator water level & pressure, core temperature & collapsed level...
- The timing of key event sequences parameters of interest were analyzed
  - the occurrence of steam generator dryout, initiation of core uncovery, and possible core damage, time available for operator to perform mitigation action.



Reactor core level during SBO



Simulation of Scenarios with Different Event Timing

- Event timing constraints are being incorporated and addressed during loss of offsite power and SBO scenarios that happen in an external flooding event at various points including:
  - Warning time ahead of the event
  - Reactor operation time before shutdown
  - The time at which the external flooding event begins to affect the plant
  - The failure time of the diesel generators and batteries
  - The recovery time of the diesel generators, batteries, and offsite power grid
  - And more .....



### Task 4: Characterization of Uncertainty in Human Response Under Physical Effects

Additional information in companion paper: AA176

**Objective & Approach** 





### Task 4: Characterization of Uncertainty in Human Response Under Physical Effects

The Phoenix HRA method builds upon this as a layered qualitative analysis



Additional information in companion paper: AA176

## Task 4: Characterization of Uncertainty in Human Response Under Physical Effects

#### **Development of CRTs from Hierarchical Task Decomposition**



Additional information in companion paper: AA176

### Task 4: Characterization of Uncertainty in Human Response Under Physical Effects

#### **Contributions & Next Steps**

- Demonstrated applicability of Phoenix method to ex-CR actions
  - Cognitive-based methods (third-generation HRA) are better suited than older methods (first- and second-generation HRA)
- CFMs provided in method are mostly relevant
  - Further refinement needed for Action phase CFMs, but Information and Decision CFMs sufficient to describe scenarios
- Future work:
  - Validation on two more ex-CR tasks and seeking expert feedback
  - Mapping of PIF causal chains to identified CFMs (Task 4.2)
  - Quantification through resultant Bayesian Network (Task 4.3)



This work sets the stage for a systematic treatment of human actions in external environments, allowing for the future development of the causal basis of HRA.

Additional information in companion paper: AA176

#### **Contributors:**

Michelle Bensi (UMD) • Katrina Groth (UMD) • Zeyun Wu (VCU) Ray Schneider (WEC) • Zhegang Ma (INL) • Ahmad Al-Douri (UMD) Somayeh Mohammadi (UMD) • Tao Liu (VCU) • Camille Levine (UMD)



This research is supported by a research grant from the **Department of Energy's Nuclear Energy University Program** (NEUP) under grant/cooperative agreement DE-NE0008974.

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed in this paper/presentation are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the funding agency or any other organization.

The authors acknowledge and appreciate the valuable insights provided by subject matter experts who have engaged with the project team over the course of the project to date.